

Agenda IM Exec Weds June 14 vtc

Annual meeting agenda preparation:

definite items

introductions/welcome

conversation with NSF program officer(s)

elections (IMExec only)

possible items

lightning talks? Yes in plenary

discussion of IMC structure, governance, and terms-of-reference? Yes as break-out

con-fab carryover: discussion with the EDI and/or the NCO? Part of "face time"

discussion led by Wade and Mark regarding integration with synthesis groups?

working group(s)? Yes, brief status report in plenary

EB report

Tho Wade also summarized at monday vtc. Anything to add?

Data policy.

LPI's had session; asked Wade to provide input. Default set to CC-BY, plus each site able to customize.

Tabled site review guidelines. Will revisit whether act, ask revise, or recommend.

Questioned where these fit, internal vs nsf request.

Decided EDI should have a non-voting rep on EB. And NCO will have a rep, also ex-officio.

NSF direction, strategy.

SEV - Renee Brown is to become the MCM site IM. (Was lined up for SEV.)

PG - concern to keep dynamism and momentum forward, given EDI. Want IMC to function as a dynamic committee with strong presence in LTER. Will support initiatives to fund meetings.

Risk of fragmentation, to become less cohesive, with incomplete participation in meetings.

Continue as self-organizing standing committee.

Marty - asking SiteDB RFC process, mail lists (copy of email June 13 to imexec)

We are starting on an Internet redesign and also starting the process of moving the mailman lists to google groups. I want to be sure that the personnel list and site profiles are up-to-date as we freeze the web site. Can you please have a look at the email below (which I am planning to send to site im's and to site-exec) for any concerns? Does this seem like a reasonable and accurate description of the issue and a workable process?

Can we restart the RFC process for updating/archiving the SiteDB? (There's a draft in the RFC folder which just needs to be revised and agreed to.) Thanks for your feedback.

Cheers, Marty

Clarify: purpose not to be as stale during locked period, and/or content for new site.

Example: list of grad students for org 2018 ASM

Send instructions to submit to intranet2's siteDB

Pathway: now NCO will get material for new website vs just notice will be locked.

EDI data policy - (copy of email June 8 to imexec from Marty)

The LTER science council voted that the default option for datasets without stated access terms should be CC-BY, not CC-0.

The updated data access policy is now posted.

<https://lternet.edu/policies/data-access>

Can you have a look and make sure it reads as intended?

Metadata > 2. > "All metadata will be publicly available regardless of any restrictions on access to the data." - does PASTA allow for this?

Actually I assumed pasta respects the ACLs for the eml doc. It doesn't?

It does not read well. It is difficult to discern if the recommendation is to use a canned CC (BY or 0), or use the suggested text, or both. It would help if the actual recommendation was more straightforward. (Stevan)

Would be helpful to show example ("boilerplate") intel rights statement. Intent and licensing, with link to the license.

Original rec from EDI included a paragraph.

Wade will forward his example.

Summer meeting items

Jason will attend Weds vtc

Coord with DataONE's Monday in case Duane or others need to move between these two sessions.