

**Referee all** “Title misleading”.

**Response** Changed, and some additional motivating text added.

**Referee 1** “Some itemizations in the submission are not clear. For example,

- what do [20], [21] and [22] mean on page 3 and 4. It is a wired way to present them if they mean the reference number.
- In Page 4, [22]<sup>5</sup>, there is no footnote number 5 on this page.

Section 5 does not complete”.

**Response** Inserted the word ‘From’ as in ‘From [20]’. The second was a  $\LaTeX$  ‘feature’ about footnotes in item descriptions — information moved to main text. The third has been replaced.

**Referee 2** “Why are bullets labelled 6.5 and 6.6”.

**Response** That’s what PCI DSS calls them, but text canged to make that clear.

**Referee 3** “Is there something missing”.

**Response** As referee 1, and text replaced here.

**Referee 3** “6.6 still needed”.

**Response** text changed to be less definitive, and talk about reducing role of 6.6. Also reducing relevance in MageCart days.

**Referee 3** “Reference to Ligne 14”.

**Response** Added.

**Referee 3** “Reference to TLS”.

**Response** Added.